UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/686,433	10/14/2003	W. Todd Daniell	030455; 190250-1580	7279	
	7590 06/02/200 epartment - TKHR	EXAMINER			
Attn: Patent Do	cketing		LAI, MICHAEL C		
One AT&T Wa Room 2A-207	У		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Bedminster, NJ	07921		2457		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/02/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/686,433	DANIELL, W. TODI	LL, W. TODD	
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	MICHAEL C. LAI	2457		

	WICHAEL C. LAI	2437						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 18 May 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavir al (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	iter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(1) Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date	7).							
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be t	filed within two months	of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor 			cause					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	v);							
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying tl	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).								
4. $oxedsymbol{oxed}$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (l	PTOL-324).					
5. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	·							
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	-					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to <u>none.</u> Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,10,11,18-20 and 25-27.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none.</u>								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. Other:	, , , (-)							
	/YVES DALENCOURT	/						
	Primary Examiner, Art U							
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	— · - ·						

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Malik's provisional application No. 60/426,432 discloses the elements of claims 1, 10, 18, 19, 25, and 26. See Figure 10, page 19, lines 16-21, and page 25 line 14 through page 27 line 6. Provisional application No. 60/426,432 clearly discloses the limitations "determining whether a sender of a received email message is currently present at an Instant Messaging (IM) account; in response to determining that the sender of the received email message is currently present at an IM account, automatically launching an IM session with the sender". Examiner's interpretation based on this express intent to apply the provisions of Title 35 relating to "applications for patent" to provisional applications (except for four enumerated sections noted in §111(b)(8)), a provisional application can therefore be reasonably considered an "application for patent" within the meaning of §102(e). The plain meaning of these provisions of Title 35 as noted above is outlined in MPEP 2136.03(111) for establishing the critical reference date under §102(e) of a U.S. patent or U.S. application publication that is entitled to the benefit of the filing date of a provisional application under §119(e). Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences held that U.S. provisional patent applications act as prior art under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as their filing date, rather than the year-later filing date of any corresponding utility patent application.